A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Influenza A Virus Infection During Pregnancy Associated with an Increased Risk for Stillbirth and Low Birth Weight
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Abstract
Background/Aims: Impaired pregnancy outcomes, such as low birth weight are associated with increased disease risk in later life, however little is known about the impact of common infectious diseases during pregnancy on birth weight. The study had two aims: a) to investigate risk factors of influenza virus infection during pregnancy, and b) to analyze the impact of influenza virus infection on pregnancy outcome, especially birth weight. Methods: Prospective and retrospective observational studies found in PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Google Scholar, and WangFang database were included in this meta analysis. Data of included studies was extracted and analyzed by the RevMan software. Results: Pregnant women with anemia (P=0.004, RR=1.46, 95% CI: 1.13-1.88), obesity (P<0.00001, RR=1.35, 95% CI: 1.25-1.46) and asthma (P<0.00001, RR=1.99, 95% CI: 1.67-2.37) had higher rates of influenza virus infection. Regarding birth outcomes, influenza A virus infection did not affect the likelihood for cesarean section. Mothers with influenza had a higher rate of stillbirth (P=0.04, RR=2.36, 95% CI: 1.05-5.31), and their offspring had low 5-minute APGR Scores (P=0.009, RR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.08-1.79). Furthermore, the rate for birth weight < 2500g (P=0.04, RR=1.71, 95% CI: 1.03-2.84) was increased. Conclusion: Results of this study showed that anemia, asthma and obesity during pregnancy are risk factors influenza A virus infection during pregnancy. Moreover, gestational influenza A infection impairs pregnancy outcomes and increases the risk for low birth weight, a known risk factor for later life disease susceptibility.
Introduction

An influenza outbreak was first described based on clinical symptoms in 1580 [1]. It transmitted from Asia to Africa, and ended in Europe. The first documented pandemic influenza outbreak with influenza virus type A H1N1 in 1918 was called the Spanish flu, since it originated from Spain [2]. In recent years, the latest influenza A (H1N1) pandemic outbreak was in 2009. After the first case has been reported in Mexico in April 2009, the influenza infection cases increased very fast within a very short time-period. In merely 17 months, influenza virus spread across the entire world [3]. From April 2009 to August 2010, due to the influenza virus infection [4, 5], there were nearly 200 million influenza A virus infections reported. About 250,000 to 500,000 of these infected individuals died. Although compared with the influenza pandemic in 1918, 1957 and 1968, the morbidity and mortality of the pandemic influenza A (H1N1) in 2009 were less pronounced, it was still a huge worldwide problem for the health care systems. In particular elderly people and young children were heavily affected, because their immune system was either not fully functional anymore or simply immature. Another group with a particular high risk of suffering from influenza A virus infection are pregnant women. The underlying reasons are, however, yet unknown.

Gil Mor et al [6] summarized that current patho-physiological understanding of influenza A virus infection in pregnancy in their review. During pregnancy there is a physiological shift of cytokines that on the one hand enable tolerance of the foreign tissue – the fetus – by the mother. This shift in the immune tolerance on the other hand also alters the response of the mother to infections such as influenza A. Beside the mother the fetus may also be infected via the placenta. Not much is known yet, whether influenza A virus infection during pregnancy affects birth outcomes, such as birth weight. In a magnitude of studies it was demonstrated that reductions in birth weight are not just associated with short term consequences but can impact on later life disease susceptibility. The developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis states that suboptimal conditions in early life permanently alter the phenotype of the offspring that are responsible for this increased adult disease risk [7-12]. We conducted this systematic review to better understand clinical risk factors for influenza A virus infection and its impact on maternal and fetal outcomes.

We were particularly interested if influenza A virus infection affects birth weight, since low birth weight is a risk factor for later life disease susceptibility.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

Two investigators screened published data in PubMed, MEDLINE, Embase, Google Scholar and WangFang Database using the MeSH terms such as pregnancy, gravidity, pregnant women, mother, maternal, and/or neonatal, embryo, fetus, and influenza, influenza virus from January 1, 1989 to January 18, 2017. All records, i.e. relevant reviews, case reports and papers were screened in both English and Chinese. Articles written in other languages were excluded (Figure 1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Prospective studies or retrospective clinical observational studies were included. Case reports or studies describing interventions of any type, for instance pharmacological interventions were excluded. All included papers had to provide information about how influenza A (H1N1) virus infection was diagnosed. The studies needed to compare maternal risk factors for influenza virus type A infection in affected and non-affected pregnant women. Baseline demographic data in the study cohort had to be provided. Analysis in terms of potential influenza virus infection related outcomes should have been described. Studies about other types of influenza, such as seasonal influenza, influenza virus type B or avian influenza virus were also excluded. Also studies which included other conditions besides influenza A were excluded.
Quality assessment of included articles

Two authors carefully read the full text and evaluated the article quality by the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) standards [13]. The papers were analyzed and ranked by a point system according to three categories:

Selection and definition of the study population. In the included trials, the diagnosis of influenza had to be defined either by typical clinical symptoms assessed by experienced physicians, serological tests (a four-fold rise of antibodies titers) or real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Cases with influenza A virus infection were targets of this study, so cases with other types of influenza virus infection were excluded [14-17].

Comparability of cases and controls based on design of the study. Studies were required to be comparable. According to how many confounding factors were considered studies were given one point (study controlled one confounding factor) or two points (study controlled for two or more confounding factors). Regarding the assessment of outcome studies had to provide clinical data covering the whole period from infection to complete recovery and received one point in the scoring if they did so.

Scoring criteria for cohort study and case-control study. For cohort studies, if the cases in the study were follow-up, it will get one point. If the follow-up was long enough to the end of disease, the article will get two points. If the follow up was long enough and reported the missing cases at the end of the study, it will get three points. For case-control studies, if the exposed fact of case group was confirmed by reliable
Results

Ten articles that compared infants born to women with influenza (H1N1) virus infection with those without infection were included in our study. There were quality criteria.

Table 1. Scores of enrolled trials according to NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE (cohort-studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Exposed cohort</th>
<th>Non-exposed cohort</th>
<th>Ascertainment of exposure</th>
<th>Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study</th>
<th>Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur</th>
<th>Adequacy of follow up of cohorts</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doyle TJ 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce M 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerardin P 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieto-Pascual L 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narell A 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva AA 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendez-Figueroa H 2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen C 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: ** Article meets criterion of the NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT scale. b: *** Studies control for at least two confounding factors. c: Open fields indicate that this criteria was not met.

Table 2. Scores of enrolled trials according to NOS (case-control studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Case definition adequate</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Control selection</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Comparability of cases and control on the basis of the design or analysis</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Same method of ascertainment for exposure and non-exposure</th>
<th>Non-Response rate</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yates L 2010</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morakes-SVM 2014</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: ** Article meets criterion of the NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT scale. b: *** Studies controls for at least two confounding factors. c: Open fields indicate that this criteria was not met.
DOI: 10.1159/000477221
Published online: March 12, 2017
© 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel
www.karger.com/kbr

2,156 pregnant women with influenza A virus infection and 400,193 pregnant women without influenza as control. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale was used to evaluate the quality of the studies. Table 1 and 2 showed how the studies were scored.

Basic information (Table 3 and Table 4)

Eleven complications or risk factors were analyzed. Diabetes, asthma, anemia, obesity, smoking, and cesarean section were maternal related items. Preterm Birth, small for gestational age (SGA), birth weight < 2500g, stillbirth, and 5-minute APGAR Scores were analyzed for neonates.

Most studies were calculated the number of mothers who accepted cesarean delivery, but Doyle’s study [19] had the number of babies born from cesarean delivery. For the delivery mode, the exposed cohort numbers were 191 cases in cesarean section, and the control were 300,398 cases in Doyle’s study [19]. In Gerardin’s [20] study, as well as Doyle’s study [19], for the control cohort there were 445 cases in cesarean section. In addition, some information about mothers or babies was lost in other studies, so the total numbers of the samples may be different from the real situation. These were likewise the cases in Naresh’s study [21] about Anemia and Gerardin’s study [20] about preterm labor and stillbirth.

Maternal outcome

All included trials described risk factors for influenza A virus infection during pregnancy. We analyzed five possible risk factors for influenza A virus infection during pregnancy as follows:

Obesity. According to the new diagnosis of obesity as a chronic disease [22], obesity was defined as BMI ≥30 kg/m², overweight was defined as 25<BMIL29.9 kg/m². We established

Table 3. Maternal basic information provided by the eligible enrolled studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Pregnant women with influenza: normal</th>
<th>Obesity or overweight</th>
<th>Smoking</th>
<th>Asthma before delivery</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Anemia</th>
<th>Cesarean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pierce M 2011</td>
<td>256:1220</td>
<td>63:202</td>
<td>59:257</td>
<td>16-</td>
<td>730(445)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nieto-Pascual L 2013</td>
<td>76:92</td>
<td>20:14</td>
<td>18:20</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>18.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales-S-V M 2014</td>
<td>51:114</td>
<td>12:10</td>
<td>11:19</td>
<td>6:11</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva A 2014</td>
<td>163:46</td>
<td>112:12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendez-Figueroa H 2011</td>
<td>14:25</td>
<td>0:1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen C 2012</td>
<td>887:100576</td>
<td>264:2132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>304:33036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1037:299412</td>
<td>905:3799</td>
<td>1397:102715</td>
<td>1308:102059</td>
<td>1082:101286</td>
<td></td>
<td>3043:410621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*[]*: Total case number was different from number of “Pregnant women with influenza: normal”.

Table 4. Descriptive data of studied patients provided by the eligible enrolled studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year</th>
<th>Pregnant women with influenza: normal</th>
<th>Preterm Labor</th>
<th>&lt;2500g infant</th>
<th>Small gestational age(SGA)</th>
<th>Stillbirth</th>
<th>5-minute APGAR Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nieto-Pascual L 2013</td>
<td>75:89</td>
<td>3:9</td>
<td>11:13</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>34:31048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yates L 2010</td>
<td>241:1223</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5:0</td>
<td>34:31048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Obesity was defined as BMI ≥30 kg/m² [23]. Pregnant women with influenza virus infection were compared to pregnant women without influenza virus infection considering body weight (normal body weight, overweight or obesity).

Fig. 3. Pregnant women with influenza virus infection were compared to pregnant women without influenza virus infection according to preexisting asthma.

two groups: “obesity” and “overweight/obesity”. The analysis revealed that obesity is a risk factor for influenza virus infection in pregnant women (P<0.00001, RR=1.35, 95% CI: 1.25-1.46) (Figure 2).

Smoking. Six studies [20, 21, 23-25, 27] provided maternal smoking data. According to the time of smoking, the outcome was divided into three groups: smoking before pregnancy, but quit during pregnancy [23]; smoking both before and during pregnancy [21, 24, 25, 27]; and not specified [20]. The result showed that maternal smoking does not increase influenza A virus infection risk (P=0.11, RR=1.13, 95% CI: 0.97-1.31, I²: 0%).

Asthma. Five studies [21, 23, 24, 26, 27] gave complete maternal asthma data (Figure 3). Maternal asthma was demonstrated to increase the risk of acquiring influenza A virus infection during pregnancy (P<0.00001, RR=1.99, 95% CI: 1.67-2.37).
Diabetes. Six studies [20, 21, 24, 26-28] in this meta-analysis included data regarding diabetes. According to the time of diagnosis, these outcomes were divided into three groups: diabetes mellitus before pregnancy (DM), gestational diabetes (GDM) and DM/GDM. The test for an overall effect was insignificant ($P=0.49$). The subgroups analysis demonstrated that pregnant women with DM before pregnancy ($P=0.68$) and GDM ($P=0.87$) were not associated with a higher risk of influenza A virus infection during pregnancy.

Anemia. If a pregnant woman had a hematocrit <33% or hemoglobin concentrations <110g/L, she was categorized as having anemia [30]. According to the Rev-Men 5.2 analysis, anemia during pregnancy was a risk factor for getting influenza A virus infection ($P=0.004$, RR=1.46, 95% CI: 1.13-1.88) (Figure 4).

Besides, some studies suggest that the mode of delivery might be affected by maternal influenza A virus infection. Hence, we gathered data from eight studies [19-21, 25-29]. There was no statistical significant association between influenza A virus infection and cesarean section ($P=0.28$, RR=1.10, 95% CI: 0.93-1.30) and the heterogeneity existed ($\chi^2=27.44$, $I^2=74\%$). The non-contemporary comparison bias appeared in studies of Doyle’s and Pierce’s [19, 25] for they enrolled the control groups in different periods.

Neonatal outcome

Ten studies have described neonatal outcomes. We summarized them in regards to four adverse neonatal outcomes as follows:

Preterm birth. Preterm birth was defined as being born before 37 weeks of gestation. A test for an overall effect was $P=0.08$ (RR=1.45, 95% CI: 0.96-2.18, $I^2=89\%$). We did not find an association between maternal influenza A virus infection and preterm birth.

Low birth weight. Low birth weight was defined as birth weight below 2500g. Offspring born to pregnant women with influenza A had a higher risk of low birth weight ($P=0.04$, RR=1.71, 95% CI: 1.03-2.84, $I^2=89\%$). The cases included only during influenza pandemic period, but the control included from pre-pandemic period in Doyle’s study [19] and Pierce’s study [25]. So the heterogeneity (89%) in this meta-analysis came from time inconsistency between case and control group (Figure 5).

SGA was not associated with influenza A virus infection during pregnancy ($P=0.83$, RR=1.02, 95% CI: 0.84-1.23, $I^2=0\%$).

Stillbirth. Influenza A virus infection was associated with nearly 2.4 times more stillbirths than the control ($P=0.04$, RR=2.36, 95% CI: 1.05-5.31). Results of this study demonstrated an association between influenza A virus infection during pregnancy and stillbirth (Figure 6).
5-Minute APGAR Scores. APGAR score was used to assess the health condition of the newborn. Maternal influenza A virus infection was associated with lower APGAR scores at 5 minutes ($P=0.009$, RR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.08-1.79) compared to not infected mothers. Most of the neonates may get <9 scores at 5 minutes after born ($P=0.001$, RR=1.53, 95% CI: 1.18-1.98). The heterogeneity appeared (40%), because Gerardin's study [20] calculated neonates with very low APGAR scores (APGAR scores <7) (Figure 7).

Discussion

This meta-analysis demonstrated that pregnant women with anemia, obesity, and asthma had higher risk of influenza A virus infection. Mothers with influenza A virus infection had a higher risk of stillbirth, and offspring of the mothers with influenza A virus infection had low birth weight or/and low APGR Scores at 5 minutes postpartum. Smoking and diabetes mellitus before pregnancy were not associated with higher influenza A virus infection rate during pregnancy. Influenza A virus infection did not affect the likelihood for cesarean section, preterm birth, or small for gestational age babies. The current study thus provides evidence that – beside classical risk factors for low birth weight – influenza A virus infection during pregnancy represents another factor associated with low birth weight that might impact later life disease susceptibility.
Anemia, obesity, and asthma were identified as independent risk factors for influenza A virus infection during pregnancy. Louie JK likewise reported that pregnant women with influenza virus infection have a higher prevalence of asthma [31]. A study which observed H1N1 infection from April to September 2009 in Canada found pregnant women were hospitalized more frequently than the non-pregnant women, and preexisting lung disease or asthma increased the mortality risk of influenza A virus infection [32]. A Danish included more than 100,000 pregnant women during 7 years, and it was found that offspring of pregnant women with asthma have an increased risk of influenza A virus infection (HR=1.34; 95% CI: 1.23–1.46) [33].

Two included studies [21, 26] reported numbers of pregnant women with anemia during influenza A (H1N1) epidemic. Although they didn't give the details of patients' disease, it was revealed that anemia during pregnancy can be a risk for getting influenza A virus (H1N1) infection. However, more clinical data are needed to better assess the association between anemia and influenza virus infection.

Our results demonstrated that pregnant women who were overweight or obese had an increased risk for influenza A (H1N1) infection. As well as young adults, BMI >25 kg/m² was an increased risk for having influenza infection. If overweight or obesity adults infected with influenza A virus (H1N1), 75% of them may suffer from hypoxemia [34].

Diabetes before and during pregnancy was not associated with an increased risk for influenza virus infection during pregnancy. Contrary to our findings, a study by An JH et al. [35], showed that pregnant women who suffered influenza A virus H1N1 infection during the 2009 outbreak in Korea had a higher prevalence for GDM as compared to controls. This difference to our data might be related to the different inclusion criteria used in the study of An JH et al. [35], were only patients that had to be referred to the ICU were considered, but not out-patients as it was done in our study.

Influenza A virus infection during pregnancy was an independent risk factor for stillbirth and offspring with low birth weight or/and low APGR Scores at 5 minutes in our meta-analysis. Pregnancy complicated by influenza A virus infection can increase the risk of stillbirth which has been reported already for the “Spanish” influenza pandemic from 1918 to 1919. The risk of stillbirth was estimated to be increased by approximately 30% in a study performed in Osaka, Japan [36].
Furthermore, results of our study showed that influenza A virus infection during pregnancy is associated with an increased risk to give birth to low birth weight neonates. A study by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) in USA analyzing influenza infections in pregnant women from April 2009 to October 2010 came to similar results [37]. Pregnancy with severe influenza virus infection – that required hospitalization was associated with a higher rate of low birth weight (43.8%) and higher rates of preterm birth (63.6%) as compared to non-affected control cases (8.2% low birth weight; 12.3% preterm birth) [37]. Different from the CDC study, preterm birth was not associated with influenza A virus infection during pregnancy in our study. It may be due to 4 of 7 studies about preterm birth didn’t report or analyze birth weight of babies. Only 3 studies were included in the Meta-analyze of low birth weight babies.

A low APGAR score predicts both the association with asphyxia of the neonates and the occurrence of HIE (Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy) and IVH (Intraventricular hemorrhage) later on [38]. If the newborns get APGAR scores <7, they will be asphyxia. Our data did not show that the incidence rate of maternal influenza A virus infection is high in newborns with an APGAR score below 7, this finding is most likely due to the overall small number of cases in this subgroup of neonates in our study. Analyzing the entire study population, on the other hand, clearly indicated that maternal influenza A virus infections are associated with overall lower APGAR scores at birth. It indicated that pregnancy with influenza A virus infection could increase case numbers of offspring’s slight hypoxia. The offspring can recover from hypoxia easily, if they can be dealt with timely. Doctors or nurses should pay more attention to these offspring because they have more chance to be asphyxia.

The current meta-analysis has limitations. Due to the preceding defined screening strategies, we did not detect birth defects as outcome. Luteijn et al. [39], however, demonstrated a medium to strong association between first trimester influenza exposure and congenital abnormalities such as neural tube defects, anencephaly, encephalocele, spina bifida and hydrocephaly in their meta-analysis. Our screening approach was also limited to original articles in English and Chinese, hence studies published in German, Russian or Japanese language for example were not considered. Moreover, drugs taken by patients were confounding factors to our analysis results unavoidably.

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis revealed that asthma, anemia, and overweight/obesity are risk factors for getting influenza A virus infection during pregnancy, while diabetes before or during pregnancy was not associated with a higher risk of infection. Maternal influenza A virus infection during pregnancy was shown to increase the risk of stillbirth, and offspring with lower 5-minute APGAR score. Our study did not observe an association between influenza A virus infection and preterm birth. Importantly, results of our study showed an association between gestational influenza A virus infection and low birth weight. Low birth weight is associated with an increased disease susceptibility in later life. The current study emphasizes that besides classical factors that can impact on later life disease risk in terms of the developmental origins hypothesis, also influenza A virus infection during pregnancy might be a relevant factor in this regards. In this context, it is important to note that the risk of gestational influenza A virus infection is preventable by a simple vaccination.
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